

Tm.peters@live.com
TinaPetersForColorado.com

Committee to Elect Tina Peters P.O. Box 128 Grand Junction, CO 81502 15 July 2022

Secretary Griswold,

I received your email "Request for Recount- Cost Estimate" in response to my 11 July 2022 request for recount and am prepared to deposit certified funds for a recount. However, your proposal to conduct a recount "in the same manner as the initial tabulation in each county", is unacceptable for the reasons I set forth in my initiating letter. As I stated in that letter, the Dominion voting system used in Colorado for the primary election violated federal voting system standards considering the nine unmitigated security vulnerabilities announced by CISA—of which you were aware or should have been aware—and thus was not certifiable under Colorado law.

Further, as I also noted in my letter, CISA identified thirteen mitigation steps in light of these security vulnerabilities, none of which appear to have been undertaken by your office prior to the June 28, 2022, primary. These steps recommended by CISA included officials "conduct[ing] rigorous post-election tabulation audits of the human-readable portions of physical ballots and paper records, to include reviewing ballot chain of custody and conducting voter/ballot reconciliation procedures" and to "[e]nsure all ImageCast X devices are subjected to rigorous pre- and post-election testing." (Emphasis added). Notably, the security vulnerabilities identified by CISA could affect any or all components within the Dominion Democracy Suite voting system, including the EMS, tabulators, touch screen devices etc.

CRS § 1-10.5-102 (2) states in relevant part that you are to "provide each county clerk and recorder with the necessary rules to conduct the recount in a fair, impartial, and uniform manner, including provisions for watchers during the recount. Any rule concerning the conduct of a recount must take into account the type of voting system and equipment used by the county in which the recount is to be conducted." Your decision to conduct a recount "in the same manner as the initial tabulation in each county" violates this statute for the reasons discussed above.

My request for recount was explicit regarding significant indications of malfeasance in the June 28, 2022 primary, including indications and evidence which would lead a reasonable person to conclude that no fair or trustworthy recount could be conducted using the electronic voting systems you have certified for use in Colorado. However, you not only failed to acknowledge the information I enclosed but have proposed to use the very same electronic voting systems, as if the indicators of malfeasance and reasonable basis for doubt as to the integrity of those voting systems neither existed in public view nor was provided to you.

I hereby object to your proposal to conduct the requested recount via the electronic voting systems used for the original count, request that you revise your plan to ensure conduct of a recount by hand count of paper ballots, request that you acknowledge and state your intent to fulfill my request for specific records to be provided to canvassing boards, pursuant to CRS 1-10.5-107(3) and in light of apparent material deficiencies in SCORE accuracy and integrity and advise any resultant changes in the aggregate of individual cost estimates from each county.

I look forward to your timely response.

Tina M. Peters

Mesa County, Colorado Clerk and Recorder

Fine B. Pete

Republican Candidate, Colorado Secretary of State