

8. To Permit Introduction of Arrests and Convictions of Daniel Hambrick – This motion is Denied.
9. To Prohibit Certain Crime Statistics – This motion is Granted.
10. Regarding the Location of Bullet Strikes in Daniel Hambrick's Body – This has been resolved by an Agreed Order.
11. Regarding Number of Shots Fired by Defendant – This has been resolved by an Agreed Order.
12. Regarding Prosecution's Suggestion that Defendant is Using the same Defense that Nazis used at the Nuremberg trials – This has been resolved by an Agreed Order.
13. To Prohibit the State from Introducing Exhibits, Eliciting Testimony and Making any Arguments Regarding the Dissolution Renaming of the Juvenile Crimes Task Force – This has been resolved by an Agreed Order.
14. To Prohibit the State from Eliciting Any Testimony or Making Any Arguments or Inferences Regarding Racial Issues – This has been resolved by an Agreed Order.
15. Regarding State's Expert Emanuel Kapelsohn – The Court will conduct a jury out hearing should the need arise.
16. Regarding Missing Evidence Which the State failed to Preserve and for the Court to Impose Certain Remedies Given the Constitutional Violation – This motion is under advisement.
17. Regarding Untimely disclosed Experts and Reports – This motion is Denied. Sgt. Stiger may be listed as a State's Expert Witness.

State Motions in Limine:

1. Use of Force – The Court will conduct a jury out hearing should the need arise.
2. Accident Reconstruction Expert – The Court will conduct a jury out hearing should the need arise.
3. Fourth Amendment Expert – This motion is Denied. Mr. Victor Johnson will be permitted to testify as an Expert Witness regarding Fourth Amendment issues.
4. Character Evidence of the Victim - This evidence is not relevant.
5. Character Evidence of Michael Davis and Quintel Hudson – This evidence is not relevant. The Court will conduct a jury out hearing should the need arise.

6. Defendant's Statements pursuant to Hall – Self-serving statements are not admissible.
7. Investigation and Judicial Decisions regarding personal opinion testimony – The indictment speaks for itself and renders this issue moot.
8. Video of Officer involved shootings not pertinent to this case – This evidence is not relevant according to Tenn. R. Evidence 401-403.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Entered this 25th day of June, 2021.


MONTE D. WATKINS, JUDGE DIVISION V

CC: Roger Moore
David Raybin