

Office 1 Jessica Valdivia-Luna, AZ State Bar #033037  
of the 2 Deputy Legal Advocate  
Legal 3 222 N. Central Avenue, Suite 154  
Advocate 4 Phoenix, Arizona 85004  
Firm Bar No. 441200 5 (602) 506-4111  
222 N. Central Avenue 6 [OLA@maricopa.gov](mailto:OLA@maricopa.gov)  
Suite 154 7 Attorney for Defendant  
Phoenix, AZ 85004  
5  
6  
7

222 N. Central Avenue  
Suite 154  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

(602) 506-4111  
Fax  
(602) 506-5799

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

8 STATE OF ARIZONA,

9 No. CR2020-002309-001-DT

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 JAVIER LORENZANO-NUNEZ  
(001),

13 Defendant.

14  
15 MR. LORENZANO-NUNEZ'S  
16 MOTION TO CHALLENGE  
17 THE GRAND JURY FOR A  
18 NEW FINDING OF PROBABLE  
19 CAUSE

20 (Honorable Aryeh Schwartz)

21  
22 Javier Lorenzano-Nunez, through undersigned counsel, respectfully moves  
this Court to remand this case to the Maricopa County Grand Jury for a re-  
determination of probable cause. The State did not present evidence to the Grand  
Jury in a fair and impartial manner; thus, Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez was denied a  
substantial procedural right. The denial of such right violated Rule 12.9 of the  
Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, and Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez's due process  
rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States  
Constitution as well as Article II, Section 4 of the Arizona Constitution.

Office 1  
of the 2  
Legal 3  
Advocate 3

Firm Bar No. 441200

222 N. Central Avenue  
Suite 154  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

4  
(602) 506-4111  
5  
Fax  
(602) 506-5799

1 This Motion is supported by the attached Memorandum of Points and  
2 Authorities, a copy of the Indictment, and a copy of the Grand Jury transcript.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORIRIES

### I. FACTS:

#### a. The Indictment:

6 On December 8, 2020, the Maricopa County Grand Jurors indicted Mr.  
7 Lorenzano-Nunez of Count 1: First Degree Murder, a Class One dangerous  
8 felony, alleging on or about July 9, 1998, Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez intending or  
9 knowing that his conduct would cause death, with premeditation did cause the  
10 death of Sarah James Carr, in violation of A.R.S. §§13-1101, 13-1105, 13-701,  
11 13-702, 13-703, and 13-801. A copy of the Indictment is attached for this Court's  
12 review (Appendix 1). A copy of the Grand Jury transcript is also attached for this  
13 Court's review. (Appendix 2).

#### b. Summary of Relevant Information Disclosed by the State as of 14 Today's Date:

15 On July 10, 1998, the Phoenix Police Department responded to a 911 call  
16 reporting a shooting in the area of East Brill Street in Phoenix. Upon arrival,  
17 officers found the named victim, Ms. Carr, deceased inside of a home from a shot  
18 gun blast to the face.

19 On scene, officers interviewed an eyewitness to the shooting, Shannon  
20 Fisher. Ms. Fisher informed officers she and Ms. Carr went to the East Brill  
21 Street address to purchase crack cocaine from two Hispanic men. The women did  
22 not have any money, so instead an agreement was made to exchange a sexual act

**Office  
of the  
Legal  
Advocate**

Firm Bar No. 441200

222 N. Central Avenue  
Suite 154  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

(602) 506-4111

Fax  
(602) 506-5799

1 for the cocaine. Upon completion of said act, the men did not give the women the  
2 agreed upon cocaine. When Ms. Carr began to argue with one of the men, he  
3 shot her in the face with a shotgun. Ms. Fisher ran down the street to a  
4 neighbor's house to call 911 and the two Hispanic men fled on foot from the  
5 scene. The shotgun was never recovered.

6 According to Officer Ira Williams' departmental report, Ms. Fisher was  
7 distraught over the incident and "drowsy" during their conversation. Ms. Fisher's  
8 attention had to be regained by the officer numerous times during the  
9 conversation. Ms. Fisher told Officer Williams the person who shot Ms. Carr was  
10 known to her by the nickname of "G" and the women had been to the house on  
11 East Brill Street daily for approximately one month prior to the shooting.

12 While on scene, Ms. Fisher was presented with a neighbor, Charles  
13 Chambers. Ms. Fisher positively identified Mr. Chambers as being present at the  
14 East Brill Street house at the time of the shooting. During the investigation, PPD  
15 determined that to be inaccurate.

16 Officer Laura Liuzzo also had contact with Ms. Fisher on scene. In her  
17 report, Officer Liuzzo noted Ms. Fisher was almost asleep in the backseat of the  
18 police van and indicated Ms. Fisher appeared to be under the influence or either  
19 alcohol and/or drugs at the time.

20 There were several cars parked outside the East Brill Street home. One of  
21 those vehicles was registered to a person named Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado.  
22 Inside the home, PPD located numerous documents with the name Gilbert  
Sanchez listed on them. PPD was able to locate an MVD photograph for the

**Office  
of the  
Legal  
Advocate**

Firm Bar No. 441200

222 N. Central Avenue  
Suite 154  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

(602) 506-4111

Fax  
(602) 506-5799

1 name Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado. PPD also spoke with witness Bernard Gard,  
2 the owner of the East Brill Street home, who confirmed Gilbert Sanchez was a  
3 tenant there. Mr. Gard informed PPD that Mr. Sanchez had rented other  
4 properties from him in the past. Mr. Gard identified Mr. Sanchez through his  
5 MVD photograph.

6 On July 10, 1998, Ms. Fisher was presented a photographic line-up by  
7 PPD. Upon review, Ms. Fisher identified the MVD photograph of Gilbert Noel  
8 Sanchez Rosado from that line up as the person who shot and killed Ms. Carr.

9 On July 15, 1998, PPD issued an arrest warrant for Gilbert Noel Sanchez  
10 Rosado on the charge of first-degree murder. Listed on at warrant was a date of  
11 birth and social security number for Mr. Sanchez Rosado. Officers attempted to  
12 located Mr. Sanchez Rosado through potential work or employment leads but  
13 were unsuccessful and the case went cold.

14 On September 7, 2007, Officer John Cleary authored a departmental report  
15 noting PPD had been contacted by the Puerto Rico Police Department informing  
16 them they had a person in custody by the name of Gilbert Noel Rosado with the  
17 same date of birth and social security number as listed in the PPD warrant.  
18 Officer Cleary sent a photograph to the Puerto Rico police of Mr. Sanchez  
19 Rosado. No additional information regarding this contact has been provided to  
20 defense counsel to date.

21 On November 2, 2007, Officer Ira Williams authored a departmental  
22 report noting she provided a copy of the MVD photograph to the MSCO-ACTIC  
(Arizona Counter-Terrorism Information Center) facial recognition unit. Officer

Office  
of the  
Legal  
Advocate

Firm Bar No. 441200

222 N. Central Avenue  
Suite 154  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

(602) 506-4111

Fax  
(602) 506-5799

1 Williams requested the MVD photograph of Mr. Sanchez Rosado be run in their  
2 database for any possible leads. On November 5, 2007, Officer Williams was  
3 informed that MSCO-ACTIC was unable to obtain a match with the suspect  
4 photograph in their system. The case again went cold.

5 The next report is from November 4, 2016, which notes the Arizona  
6 Department of Public Safety Facial Recognition Unit received a request from  
7 PPD to run the MVD photograph of Ms. Sanchez Rosado into their system. The  
8 report indicates that on November 23, 2016, the photograph was run through  
9 facial recognition software (Morpho Face Expert) to compare the “probe image”  
10 (the MVD photograph) with known subjects in the DPS and FBI Next Generation  
11 Identification databases.

12 The report notes the facial recognition software returned with **200 possible**  
13 **matches** from the DPS database and **50 possible matches** from the NGI  
14 database. Of those 250 possible matches, Sergeant Heltemes from DPS identified  
15 one possible lead from the NGI database, a person identified as Javier Lorenzano-  
16 Nunez. The MVD photograph was taken in 1997 and the image of Mr.  
17 Lorenzano-Nunez was taken in 2011. During the comparison, Sgt. Heltemes  
18 noted 7 similarities between the two images. DPS Criminal Intent Research Unit  
19 Specialist Steffani Skelton was assigned to conduct follow up research on Mr.  
20 Lorenzano-Nunez, during which she found no known ties to Arizona.

21 On August 8, 2017, PPD requested a search warrant for an email address  
22 with Google seeking all personal information associated with an email address  
that belonged to Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez. In that warrant, for the first time, PPD

Office 1 lists the name of Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado as an alias for Mr. Lorenzano-  
of the 2 Nunez.

Advocate 3 The timeline of what happened next is unclear to defense counsel as

Firm Bar No. 441200 4 documentation has not been provided by the State. However, Mr. Lorenzano-

222 N. Central Avenue  
Suite 154  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

5 (602) 506-4111

6 Fax (602) 506-5799

5 Nunez was ultimately arrested in Tijuana, Mexico and was extradited to the  
6 United States for these charges.

7 **c. Detective Roestenberg's Grand Jury Testimony**

8 Detective Roestenberg from the PPD Cold Case Unit testified to the Grand  
9 Jury in this matter. During his testimony, Detective Roestenberg gave a summary  
10 of the investigation to the grand jurors. However, the Detective omitted  
11 numerous important details during his testimony, thus rendering the presentation  
12 fundamentally unfair.

13 First, no mention of Ms. Fisher's intoxication and false identification of a  
14 suspect on scene is ever mentioned. Second, when the detective is describing Ms.  
15 Fisher's photograph line-up, his testimony is as follows:

16 Q: Did she pick, was she shown a photo lineup of different people's photos  
17 to see whether she recognized anyone in it?

18 A: She was.

19 Q: Did she recognize anyone?

20 A: Yes.

21 Q: Who was that?

22 A: She selected Javier Lorenzano-Nunez from the lineup presented to her.

(See Grand Jury transcript, page 12, lines 13-21).

1 At no time is the Grand Jury told Ms. Fisher actually identified a person  
2 named Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado. The Grand Jury is not told that Ms. Fisher  
3 informed them the suspect's nickname was "G." The Grand Jury is also never  
4 told about the facial recognition software portion of the investigation – they are  
5 only told the eyewitness identified Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez.

6 Further, the Detective continues his testimony by saying that a vehicle  
7 located at the residence came back to "an occupant inside the home." At no time  
8 is the name Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado said by the Detective.

9 The Detective further testifies that pay stubs, bills, and other miscellaneous  
10 items bearing the name of one of the occupants was found inside the home. At no  
11 time is the name Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado said by the Detective.

12 The Detective then tells the Grand Jury the owner of the home, Mr. Gard  
13 identified Javier Lorenzano-Nunez is a photograph line up. At no time is the  
14 name Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado said by the Detective.

15 This presentation to the Grand Jury was extremely misleading and  
16 incomplete. The DCA and Detective purposefully omitted all information  
17 regarding Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado – and approximately 18 years of  
18 investigation – during their presentation by telling the Grand Jury that Ms. Fisher  
19 and Mr. Gard identified Javier Lorenzano-Nunez.

20 And members of the Grand Jury seemed suspicious. They asked numerous  
21 questions of the Detective as to what took so long to bring an indictment. The  
22 Detective was extremely vague in his responses and said, "We do periodic  
systematic reviews of these investigations, and like I said, he fled the scene so it

1 was just a matter of following up and doing additional follow up to attempt to  
2 locate him." (See Grand Jury transcript, page 17, lines 20-23).

## II. LAW AND ARGUMENT:

### a. Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez is Entitled to a New Determination of Probable Cause

A criminal defendant is entitled to due process during grand jury proceedings. *See State v. Emery*, 131 Ariz. 493, 506, 642 P.2d 838, 851 (1982) and *Crimmins v. Superior Court*, 137 Ariz. 39, 668 P.2d 882 (1983). Remand of an indictment is appropriate when the defendant is denied a substantial procedural right under Arizona law. *See State ex rel. Woods v. Cohen*, 173 Ariz., 497, 502, 844 P.2d 1147, 1152 (1992). If the state resorts to the grand jury procedure, the due process and equal protection clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment require an impartial presentation of the evidence. *See Id; see also Herrell v. Sargeant*, 189 Ariz. 627, 629, 944 P.2d 1241, 1244 (1997). The interests of the prosecutor and the state are not limited to indictment but include serving the interests of justice; as a result, the prosecutor's obligation to make a fair and impartial presentation to the grand jury has long been recognized. *See Trebus v. Davis*, 189 Ariz., 621, 624, 944 P.2d 1235, 1238 (1997). Here, Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez's due process rights were violated, and he was not afforded a fair and impartial presentation to the Grand Jury.

### b. The State Failed to Present Exculpatory Information to the Grand Jury Thus Denying Him Due Process of Law

The presentation of evidence in this case was not done in a fair and impartial manner. Detective Roestenberg deliberately withheld critical

Office  
of the  
Legal  
Advocate

Firm Bar No. 441200

222 N. Central Avenue  
Suite 154  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

(602) 506-4111

Fax  
(602) 506-5799

1 information – and critical weaknesses – about this case to the Grand Jury.  
2 Detective Roestenberg failed to ever say the name Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado,  
3 despite the fact the vehicle registered at the East Brill Street address was under  
4 that name. Detective Roestenberg failed to ever say the name Gilbert Noel  
5 Sanchez Rosado despite the fact that is the name associated with the photograph  
6 in the MVD system that was used in the photo lineup for witness identification.  
7 Detective Roestenberg failed to ever say the name Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado  
8 despite the fact that is the name of the person who was identified by Ms. Fisher  
9 and Mr. Gard.

10 Detective Roestenberg omitted the part of the investigation where DPS ran  
11 the photograph of Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosario through their facial recognition  
12 database and received 250 possible matches and DPS somehow narrowed it down  
13 to Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez.

14 Instead, Detective Roestenberg told the Grand Jury the person the  
15 witnesses identified was Javier Lorenzano-Nunez, which is inaccurate and  
16 misleading. The witnesses identified Gilbert Noel Sanchez Rosado. The two  
17 men were only connected – 18 years later – through facial recognition software  
18 by DPS, a fact the Grand Jury is never told. Further, Detective Roestenberg  
19 failed to tell the Grand Jury the DPS investigation showed no ties between Mr.  
20 Lorenzano-Nunez and Arizona.

21 Detective Roestenberg’s failure to inform the Grand Jury of all this  
22 exculpatory evidence violates Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez’s rights to due process and a  
fair and impartial presentation to the Grand Jury.

Office 1  
of the 2  
Legal 3  
Advocate 3

Firm Bar No. 441200

4  
222 N. Central Avenue  
Suite 154  
Phoenix, AZ 85004

5  
(602) 506-4111

6  
Fax  
(602) 506-5799

### III. CONCLUSION:

Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez respectfully moves this Court to remand this case to the Maricopa County Grand Jury for a re-determination of probable cause. The State did not present evidence to the Grand Jury in a fair and impartial manner. Thus, Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez was denied a substantial procedural right. The denial of such right violated Rule 12.9 of the Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedure, and Mr. Lorenzano-Nunez's due process rights under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution as well as Article II, Section 4 of the Arizona Constitution.

10  
RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2024.

11  
12 STEVE KOESTNER  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
LEGAL ADVOCATE

By /s/ Jessica Valdivia-Luna

Jessica Valdivia-Luna  
Deputy Legal Advocate

Copy of the foregoing e-filed /  
e-mailed this 13<sup>th</sup> day of  
December, 2024, to:

Honorable Aryeh Schwartz  
18 Judge of the Superior Court  
Central Court Building  
19 201 W. Jefferson Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85003

20  
21  
22  
Tiffany Brady  
Deputy County Attorney  
225 W. Madison Street  
Phoenix, AZ 85003

By: /s/ KK