

NOTICE OF DISMISSAL

DISMISSALS REQUIRE A TERMINATION RECORD FORM TO BE SUBMITTED.

(Refer to Management Policy 339 Implementing Corrective Action and Discipline)

| Employee's Name Mitchell Brailsford | Employee's ID# 19861 |
|--|--|
| Employee's Position Title Police Officer | |
| RC Name <u>Superstition Patrol District</u> | RC # |
| Supervisor's Name Sat. C. Langley or A/Sat. T. Zo | |
| [M/D/Y] 3/21 /16 | |
| Dismissal While on Regular Status: (Mark as mai | ny as apply) |
| The reason for this dismissal is indicated below a on $(M/D/Y) 3/17/16$ | and was stated to you in the pre-deprivation hearing held |
| Incompetence, inefficiency in performance Incompetence, inefficiency, in performance Incompetence, inefficiency, in performance Incompetence, inefficiency in performance Incompetence, inefficiency in performance Incompetence, inefficiency in performance Injuring others, wasting public supplies | to appeal this dismissal, refer to the City of Mesa Personnel Rule |
| Listcouriesy: Using disrespectful, vulgar, obscene, prinember, or any citizen, directly or indirectly, face to fair to purposefully use disrespectful, vulgar, obscene, (Code of Conduct) #50, Willful disobedience of Departments, Conduct Unbecoming an Officer/Member, Dond/or unwilling to perform assigned job responsibilities. City of Mesa Management Policies and/or Personne Conduct) #76, Arrested or indicted or commission of | ustained complaints for DPM 1.4.5 (Code of Conduct) #43, rofane or insolent language or gestures to any Department oce, or by telephone, electronic communication (e-mail), CAD, profane or insolent language on the police radio, DPM 1.4.5 (artiment rules or orders, DPM 1.4.5 (Code of Conduct) #73 (2) DPM 1.4.5 (Code of Conduct) #74. Unsatisfactory Performance is, DPM 1.4.5 (Code of Conduct) #53 (2) counts, Fallure to obey at Rules or official City communications, DPM 1.4.5 (Code of Conduct) #74. Unsatisfactory Performance is DPM 1.4.5 (Code of Conduct) #53 (2) counts, Fallure to obey at Rules or official City communications. DPM 1.4.5 (Code of Conduct) #75 (Code of Conduct) # |
| Dismissal While on Initial Regular Employment Pro Failure to satisfactorily complete Initial Regular in accordance with the City of Mesa Personnel Rule deprivation hearing or appeal if dismissed while on Deputy City Manager or City Manager/Assistant to the | r Employment Probation es, the employee does not have the right to a pre- initial Regular Employment Probation. Does not require |
| | |
| Supervisor Date | |
| 2000 03/0/10 | RC Manager/Division Director (If applicable) Date 4 |
| Department Director/City Manager Designee Date 5 Deputy City Manager | RC Manager/Division Director (If applicable) Date Human Resources Director Date 3 21 16 |

MICHAEL NAPIER
ANTHONY J. COURY
KATHRYN R.E. BAILLIE*
*Also Admitted in Kentucky
ERIC R. WILSON
CASSIDY L. CROSSEN





March 22, 2016

City of Mesa Personnel Personnel Appeals Board Attn.: Secretary for Personnel Appeals Board 20 E. Main St. 1st Floor Mesa, Arizona 85211-1466

RE: Ofc. Philip "Mitch" Brailsford

Mesa Police Department Classification: Officer

Disciplinary Action: Dismissal

To Whom This May Concern,

We represent Ofc Brailsford in regard to his Dismissal from the City of Mesa Police Department. The Police Department has provided Ofc Brailsford the Disciplinary Notice of Dismissal, effective Monday, March 21, 2016.

This appeal notice is being filed pursuant to *Deuel V. Arizona State School for Deaf and Blind*, 165 Ariz. 524, 799 P.2d 865 (1990), Ariz. Rev. Stat. Sec. 38-1104, and the City of Mesa Personnel Rules Section 930. Pursuant to *Deuel*, Ariz. Rev. Stat. Sec. 38-1104, and Mesa Personnel Rules, such economic deprivation affords any and all Mesa employees the right to a hearing before the Merit System Board.

Ofc. Brailsford, is erroneously being accused of excessive use of force/murder.

Reason for the Appeal:

Ofc. Brailsford's shooting is governed under the United States Superior Court ruling *Graham v. Connor* and the mere fact that the suspect did not possess on his person, at the time of shooting, a weapon yet reaches to his waist band where weapons are known to be hiding, does not make the shooting unjustified. Ofc. Brailsford's shooting is justified under *Graham v. Connor* and Arizona State law. The Department has failed to meet the standards of Ariz. Rev. Stat. Sec. 38-1104 and therefore the dismissal of Ofc. Brailsford is excessive and unjustified.

Mesa Personnel Appeals Board Ofc. Mitch Brailsford March 22, 2016 2 | P a g e

Please forward copies of the following:

- 1. Complete investigation which was sustained against Ofc. Brailsford rendering the dismissal,
- 2. Complete criminal investigation including audio and video recordings of every person interviewed.
- 3. Any and all transcripts
- 4. Any and all audio recordings,
- 5. Any and all video recordings including all videos recorded during the shooting,
- 6. Any and all statements, including but not limited to any and all witness statements,
- 7. Audio of Ofc Brailsford internal affairs interview, pre-depravation hearing with Chief Mesa,
- 8. Names and addresses of any and all witnesses interviewed in internal affairs,
- 9. Names and addresses of all witnesses who provided written statements or complaints to internal affairs,
- 10. Complete Personnel File, including but not limited to commendations
- 11. Complete Workstation file
- 12. Any and all photographs,
- 13. Any and all Performance Evaluations for Brailsford's years of service with Mesa PD.
- 14. All transcripts of every person interviewed,
- 15. Names and home or work mailing addresses, of <u>all persons</u> interviewed during the course of the investigation. (See, A.R.S. §38-1106)
- 16. Most Recent copy of the City of Mesa Personnel Rules and Procedure Manual,
- 17. Most Recent copy of all City of Mesa Police Department General Orders
- 18. City of Mesa Police Department's most recent Disciplinary Process, including but not limited to Special Orders,
- 19. Copies of all Notice of Investigations provided to every person involved in this matter.
- 20. Pursuant to ARS 38-1104, any and all disciplinary actions (written reprimand and higher) for police employee's unauthorized use of weapons, unauthorized paraphernalia on equipment, or unauthorized verbiage on equipment.
- 21. List of every City of Mesa employee who has viewed any and all Axon footage for the officer involved shooting of January 18, 2016.
- 22. Pursuant to ARS 38-1104, any and all disciplinary actions (written reprimand and higher) for police employee's inappropriate use of profanity.
- 23. Any and all documents related to this matter.

Pursuant to A.R.S. 38-1104, the above listed items need to be mailed within three (3) business days from the date of this letter, to the Law Office of Napier, Abdo, Coury & Baillie, 2525 E. Arizona Biltmore Circle, Ste. 135, Phoenix, Arizona 85016.

Mesa Personnel Appeals Board Ofc. Mitch Brailsford March 22, 2016 3 | P a g e

If you have any questions or concerns please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

NAPIER, COURY & BAILLIE, P.C.

Kathryn R. E. Baillie

Cc: Nancy Sorenson, Mesa Police Department