```
July 1, 2025
                    CAUSE NO. 2024CCV-61174-3
 1
 2
     AJIT DAVID
                                   IN THE COUNTY COURT
                                S
          Plaintiff,
                                S
 3
                                §
                                §
                                   AT LAW NO. 3
     vs.
 4
                                §
                                §
 5
     CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI,
                                §
     TEXAS
                                S
          Defendant.
                                S
                                   NUECES COUNTY, TEXAS
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
                  ORAL VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
11
                            ALAN WILSON
12
                            July 1, 2025
13
14
15
16
               Oral Videotaped Deposition OF ALAN WILSON,
17
     produced as a witness at the instance of the Plaintiff
18
     and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and
19
     numbered cause on July 1, 2025, from 12:55 p.m. to
20
     1:37 p.m., before Rosalba R. Taylor, Texas Apprentice
21
     Court Reporter in and for the State of Texas, reported
2.2
     by computerized stenotype machine at 801 Navigation
23
     Blvd, Suite 100, Corpus Christi, Texas 78408, pursuant
24
     to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions
25
     stated on the record or attached hereto.
```

1	APPEARANCES
2	ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF:
3	Mr. Douglas A. Allison LAW OFFICES OF DOUGLAS A. ALLISON
4	403 N. Tancahua Street Corpus Christi, Texas 78401
5	Telephone: (361)-888-6002 Fax: (361)-888-6651
6	E-mail: doug@dallisonlaw.com
7	ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT:
8	Ms. Amanda P. Marcum CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI LEGAL DEPARTMENT
9 10	P.O. Box 9277 Corpus Christi, Texas 78469-9277 Telephone: (361)-826-3360
11	Fax: (361)-826-3239 E-mail: AmandaM5@cctexas.com
12	
13	ALSO PRESENT:
14	Joel Jacob, Videographer
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
ر ک	

1		INDEX	
2	WITNE	ESS:	PAGE
3	ALAN	WILSON	
4		Examination by Mr. Allison	4
5		Witness Signature Waived	.39
6		Deposition concluded	.39
7		Court Reporter's Certificate	.40
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

```
1
                      P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
 2
     Whereupon,
 3
     12:55 p.m.
 4
                                       Today is Tuesday,
 5
                   THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
     July 1st, 2025. The time now is 12:55. We are now on
     the record.
                   If all counsel will state their
 8
     appearances and who they represent.
 9
10
                   MR. ALLISON: We'll waive appearances.
                                                             Is
11
     that okay with you?
12
                   MS. MARCUM:
                                 Sure.
13
                   MR. ALLISON: Okay. Go ahead.
14
                   (Witness sworn.)
15
                           ALAN WILSON,
16
     having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
17
                            EXAMINATION
     BY MR. ALLISON:
18
19
         Ο.
              Will you state your name, please.
20
              Alan Wilson.
         Α.
21
              Mr. Wilson, I think you know that we are here
22
     today in relation to a matter that was before the --
23
     what we call Type B Board; is that right?
2.4
         Α.
              Correct.
                        That's correct.
25
              Before we get started on the exact subject
         Q.
```

- 1 | matter, I do want to get a little background on you --
- 2 A. Sure.
- Q. -- so that the jury or the court if they're looking at this can know who you are.
  - A. Okay.

- Q. First of all, you've told us your name, and where are you currently employed?
- A. I am executive vice president of Charter Bank,
  Corpus Christi.
- Q. And probably everybody who's going to be maybe hearing this or reading any of this knows Charter Bank, but what is Charter Bank?
- A. Charter Bank is a local bank, primarily of local owners. We have locations in Corpus and one -three in Corpus and one in Rockport.
- 16 Q. Okay. And how long have you been with Charter 17 Bank?
  - A. This past April was nine years.
    - Q. And how long have you been vice president?
- A. Executive vice president, I've been an executive vice president or a market president for 22 20 years.
- Q. Okay. How long have you been in the banking industry?
- 25 A. I was telling Rosie, this month is 43 years in

Corpus Christi.

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. Okay. And what part of the business of banking have you been involved with over your career?
  - A. Commericial lending and then management.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Melissa.

MR. ALLISON: Thank you. This is where I say something on the record like, okay, we have an interruption, and so we'll probably edit that out. That way when I read it, I remember to do that.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

Q. (By Mr. Allison) You said that -- well, let me back up.

It sounds like then a big part of your banking experience historically has been commercial lending?

- A. Yes. That's where I started.
- Q. Tell me just very generically, 'cause, just generally, what's that process for commercial lending?
- A. Well, if you're talking about when you start your career you learn to analyze credit and usually a year or two into that, if there's an opportunity, you become a commercial loan officer.

What's involved with that is being able to appraise people's character, being able to read and analyze financial statements, and then being able to

structure loans that doesn't put your bank at risk, but provides a service to your community.

2.4

- Q. Okay. You mentioned in there, appraising people's character. Is that important to the loan process?
- A. We talk about it in the lending process, the four Cs of credit. The first C is character.
  - Q. And why is character important?
- A. I was telling my boss today that in my 43 years I have seen a lot of people who on paper you would think they can't pay, but they figure out a way to do it. And on the other side, I've seen times where it looked like they'd have no problem paying and they're constantly past due or in trouble.
- Q. And if I'm reading between the lines there correctly or reading the lines that you've said expressly, there's a tie in or a relationship between character and someone's integrity about doing what they say they're going to do?
- A. Yeah. We -- they -- when they borrow money from us, they sign a promissory note, and what that means is I promise to pay.
- Q. So it sounds like from your perspective if it's one of the four Cs in terms of advancing any money when you're in the private sector, the bank is always in the

- private sector, right?
- 2 A. Right.

- Q. In terms of advancing any money, character is of one of the highest importance?
- A. For me -- you -- there'd be some people that would disagree, but character is probably first and foremost and then competence after that.
- Q. Okay. And character does that run hand in hand with the integrity of the person?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. Okay. The honesty of the person?
- 12 A. Sure.
- Q. Okay. The truthfulness of the person?
- 14 A. Yeah. Those are almost synonyms.
- Q. And just to give us a rough sense probably how many loans have you overseen in your career? I knew I was going to get you with that one.
- A. Man, I don't even know how to -- 43 years,

  subtract two, 41, average loan portfolio 45 million, so

  average loan size, gosh, hundreds.
  - O. Okay.

- 22 A. And hundreds, and I would say thousands.
- Q. Okay. You're familiar?
- 24 A. Yeah.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, somehow that led -- that experience

- or that history in this community led to you being, I quess, on the Type B Board?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

- Q. And tell me how long -- tell us first of all what the Type B Board is.
  - A. Well, there's a special provision in the state of Texas for -- to carve out, if the community votes for it, part of the sales tax that can be used for job retention and for job growth. And it's an appointed position by the city counsel.
- Q. And how long have you served on the Type B Board as an appointee by the city?
- A. I'm in my sixth year, and September, I will term out.
  - Q. And I think at the time of the application and funds that we're going to be talking about here, that all relates to a Homewood Suites Project?
    - A. Right.
- 19 Q. I think at that time you were a member of the 20 board?
- 21 A. Yes. I was vice president.
- Q. Okay. And I think now what is your current position?
- 24 A. President.
  - Q. Okay. And I think also, but help me here, that

- the Type B Board has a relationship with what we call the R -- CCREDC?
- A. Yes. They are the vetter of projects that come to us. They analyze what -- does it fit with the Type B regulations, does it retain jobs, does it advance job growth? They look at the feasibility. Their board votes on it.

So we don't get a project that -- unless, and there is one exception to that. If it went through the city. Sometimes those come direct.

- Q. But the one we're talking about today, did not?
- 12 A. EDC.

8

9

10

11

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. It went through EDC not the city?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. So it was not one of those exceptions?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. And then I want to break down since we know
  this Homewood Suites Project came through, and I'm going
  to say CCREDC, that means Corpus Christi Regional
  Economic Development Corporation?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. Since we know this was one of the projects or that this project for Homewood Suites and the funding requests came through EDC, right?
    - A. Uh-huh.

- 1 Q. Is that a yes?
- 2 A. Yes. I'm sorry.
- Q. It's okay. Let's stick to that framework.
- 4 A. Okay.
- Q. And in that framework it would be the CCREDC that would vet the project?
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. Is that a yes?
- 9 A. Yes.

- MR. ALLISON: That nice lady right over
  there, if you nod your head, it's gonna really throw her
  off.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 14 Q. (By Mr. Allison) Okay.
- 15 A. I'm a rookie at this.
- Q. That's okay. And it was also the CCREDC who would have done the analysis on this proposed Homewood project for funding from Type B money?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. And they would have done that analysis and -and one prerequisite to it even getting out of CCREDC is
  that it meets CCREDC criteria?
- 23 A. Okay.
- Q. Correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. And you don't, the Type B Board doesn't get a project unless, other than the exception which we know doesn't apply here?
  - A. Right.

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

- Q. The Type B Board doesn't get a project unless it gets the check marks as meeting the criteria for the CCREDC?
- A. That's correct. We get a presentation from the CCREDC. We get paperwork showing average salaries, number of jobs, that kind of thing to -- because they're more informed about details than we would be.
  - Q. Right. They being CCREDC?
- 13 A. That's correct.
  - Q. And then you all being the Type B Board?
- 15 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Okay. And this sort of process we're talking about you know that the project that was the Homewood Suites Project went through that CCREDC process?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And then it came in front of the Type B Board?
- 21 A. It did.
- Q. And then it got voted on and therefore approved and passed on for city counsel consideration?
- 24 A. That's the process.
  - Q. Yeah. And part of that exact process, one of

- the requirements for CCREDC is that there be some sort of an infrastructure component to the project?
  - A. Define what you mean by infrastructure component.
- Q. Fair enough. Let me do it this way with you.

  I will show you the deposition of Mike Culbertson. Do

  you know who he is?
  - A. I do.

4

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- O. Go ahead and tell us who Mike Culbertson is.
- 10 A. He's the current CEO of the CCREDC.
- Q. And I know you don't have the benefit of having read Mr. Culbertson's deposition ahead of right now, right?
- 14 A. No, I haven't.
  - Q. Okay. And he was asked about whether or not there was an infrastructure component to this project at the time it passed from CCREDC to Type B; you understand the time frame?
  - A. Yeah. I know the time frame. I'm trying to picture what infrastructure portion means.
  - Q. Okay. Let me ask it to you this way. Well, I think the answer to infrastructure is that what we're talking about in Mr. Culbertson's deposition.
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. Was the infrastructure had to do with the FEMA

- representations made by the project to the CCREDC about FEMA flood plains?
- 3 A. Okay.
  - Q. Does that answer your question?
- A. Yeah.

8

9

10

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q. In that context then he said, and it's on page 121, I'll let you read it if you want. He was actually asked about what the significance was to infrastructure for a CCREDC project. Do you understand what I'm saying?
- 11 A. Yeah.
- Q. And he answered, quote, I agree that I said,
  he's referring to a conversation with him and
  Mr. Zanoni, I agree that I said it had to be tied to
  infrastructure.
- Does -- do you agree that that's part of their criteria at CCREDC?
- 18 | A. I don't know what their criteria is.
  - Q. Okay. And then he went on to say, quote, this is in his deposition, I told both my board, the project, and Type B, it had to be tied to infrastructure.

Do you agree with that?

A. Well, their request was to build a building, and the -- that's infrastructure, and the add on cost of being in a flood plain was a part of the request too, so

I'm not sure how he's framing that.

- Q. Okay. Well, I can give you that context here.
- 3 And in his deposition and just so it's on
- 4 | the record, he's asked, When you read the entire
- 5 | PowerPoint, we're talking about the PowerPoint that was
- 6 given to your Type B Board.
  - A. Presented to us, okay.
- Q. Okay. When you read it, it was so obvious,
- 9 this is the questioner, that the reader wanted one to
- 10 | believe that the FEMA change was just recent, recently
- 11 | released and this and that. Do you agree with that?
- 12 Mike Culbertson answered, I would agree. And then
- 13 | continuing to quote -- what we're doing here is,
- 14 | actually, we're quoting from a discussion he had with
- 15 | Peter Zanoni.

1

- 16 Let me back up and do it that way.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Have you heard the discussion with Peter
- 19 | Zanoni?
- 20 A. I don't -- I don't think I have.
- 21 O. Okay. And let me give you the context for it.
- 22 You know that later in time this project was in front of
- 23 | the Corpus Christi City Council?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And between first and second reading, you

1 probably remember there being some hullabaloo? 2 Α. Yes. About the altering of a federal document? 3 Ο. Α. Yes. 0. Okay. And there was an investigation by the city to look into that, correct? Α. I don't know that. Okay. Part of -- one of the conversations in Ο. 8 that time frame was this conversation. 9 10 (Audio recording played.) 11 (By Mr. Allison) It doesn't go much longer. Ο. Ι actually did not mean to shut it off. 12 But you understand from listening to that 13 14 the very specific reference was that the infrastructure was the FEMA flood plain --15 16 Α. FEMA. 17 Q. -- right? 18 Α. Yeah. So when we talk about infrastructure and the 19 Ο. 20 context of this project, can we agree that the 21 infrastructure we're talking about is the FEMA flood 22 plain issue? 23 Α. The add on. 2.4 Yes, sir. The add on of the additional expense Ο. 25 that they said they were going to incur, the project was

- going to incur because of what the applicant had said
  was a change or recent change in FEMA flood plain?
  - A. Yeah. I don't recall him telling me or that I heard he said recent change. But being a banker I knew it wasn't a recent change.
  - Q. Okay. Well, let me help you with that a little bit too --
    - A. Because all of our -- we had loans that weren't in flood plain and were in mid-construction and all of sudden were.
      - Q. Yeah. If somebody suggested it was a recent or unexpected flood plain FEMA rule change, you know that's false?
- 14 A. Yeah. I would have thought that somebody would 15 know.
  - Q. Okay. And let me just restate that real quick here.
    - A. Okay.

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. First thing, I think we've agreed to is in the context of this Homewood Suites project that the infrastructure component that was part of this package to get money for the applicant, the infrastructure component was the allegation or suggestion that there had been a FEMA flood plain change?
  - A. Recently, was the, I guess, the idea, but it

wasn't recent.

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

2.4

25

- Q. Right. But we're agreeing on what we're referring to when we talk about infrastructure?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And we're also agreeing and I can show it to you, but you just said it, right? That the pitch from the applicant was that it was a recent change?
  - A. Okay.
    - Q. Do you know that?
- 10 A. I don't recall me hearing him say that.
- 11 Q. Well, I'll go ahead and quote from you on the 12 presentation.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. All right.
- Q. At the presentation he says, Mr. Phillip
  Ramirez said the one thing that came up is that we were
  not anticipating the FEMA maps were preliminary, but
  they became finalized and adopted as a part of the
  process of the city. We needed to comply with the new
  FEMA requirements that came into effect on October.

You know that they're not really new,
they've been around for a long time?

- A. I do know that they were preliminary.
  - Q. Well, they were preliminary from 2020, like

1 | three years earlier.

2

8

9

- A. Right. But --
- Q. They become preliminary before they become final?
- A. Right. But banks did not have to take action on the new maps until it was finalized.
  - Q. Fair enough. Okay. But then Mr. Ramirez says it kind of hit us midstream, it threw us for a little bit of a loop for some aspects of the project we're not planning on.
- Okay. That certainly indicates that they
  were surprised by it, right?
- 13 A. Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. Correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. But you and I both know, and I know it goes
  back to 2020, they do the preliminary and the proposed
  changes, and it's several years before they become
  final, right?
- A. Yeah. There's -- and I think the city, y'all can correct me, but there's a time where challenges and requests for change can occur. I guess it's in that period.
- Q. Yeah. It's about a three or four-year period, right?

1 Α. I'll take your word for it, I don't know Okay. 2 the timing. 3 Ο. Generally, that would be consistent with how 4 FEMA operates? 5 Α. Sure. 6 Ο. Okay. You know that much? Α. Yeah. And I think the website, the initial 8 Ο. presentation of it was October of 2020, so that's years 9 10 before this project was even imagined, right? 11 Α. Yeah. Right. 12 So it's not like they're new changes? Ο. 13 Α. Right. 14 Ο. It's not like they're a surprise? 15 Α. So --16 Agreed? Q. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. 19 Should somebody in the real estate business Α. 20 have known that they were coming, the answer is yes. 21 It shouldn't catch them by surprise? Ο. Yeah. 22 Α. Yeah. 23 Q. On a multi-million dollar project? 2.4 Α. Yeah.

Can we agree?

25

Q.

- A. I was surprised by that. Yeah.
- Q. You're surprised that they're claiming they're surprised?
  - A. I guess that's one way of putting it.
- Q. Yeah. And then Phillip talks about,
- Mr. Ramirez talks about, quote, it did certainly throw a
  wrinkle in an aspect to our project from a
  constructablity perspective, but also just an added cost
  that we were not anticipating when we first started

moving forward with the project which is around 2022.

If the regs were there in the preliminary form in 2020, then we can agree it shouldn't have been a wrinkle and it should have not been something that was unanticipated, agreed?

- A. I would -- I agree that they should have checked it out.
- 17 Q. Yeah. They should -- it should be anticipated.

  18 It should not be, oh, we didn't anticipate it?
  - A. Yeah.

1

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

22

23

- Q. Okay. They shouldn't be surprised by the regulation --
  - A. The possibility of that becoming the law.
  - Q. Right. Because it had been proposed for years?
- 24 A. Yeah. I agree.
  - Q. Okay. And again Mr. Ramirez goes on to say the

- applicant in front of the Type B Board, quote, so there
  were some FEMA requirements, he says requirements, but
  we know he's referring to FEMA, quote, so there were
  some requirements and parameters that we certainly did
  not anticipate.
- Again, they should have anticipated them, right?
  - A. In my opinion, yes.
    - Q. Yeah. FEMA doesn't move fast, right?
  - A. Right. Government.
  - Q. Okay. They give you years of notice, they let you have a comment period, and you shouldn't be into a multi-million dollar project and act like, oh, I'm shocked that these rules were there like I didn't anticipate them?
    - A. Yeah.
  - Q. Agreed?
- 18 A. Right.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

- Q. Okay. And so you see from the quotes I've read that certainly, and it's in Peter's discussion on the tape I just played for you too, but is it -- let me back up.
- From the information we have in the presentation is it very clear that the applicant is sort of pitching it like these were not anticipated, and that

1 | it was some kind of recent change?

information was available?

- A. To the best of my recollection, I think that's true.
- Q. Yeah. Okay. And we are agreeing that
  that's -- that that should not be true. It should be
  that the architect and the developer did their homework
  ahead of time and anticipated everything because the
- 9 A. Okay.

- 10 Q. Agreed?
- 11 A. Agreed.
- Q. Okay. And you understand the audio tape I

  played a moment ago, pretty distinct voices, but that's

  Peter Zanoni --
- 15 A. Peter and Ajit.
- 16 Q. Yes. Okay. I knew you knew.
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. I have a transcript of it if you want to look
  19 at it at any time?
- 20 A. Yeah. First I've heard of it, but I don't need 21 a transcript.
- Q. I think we're now at the point then where it's

  clear not -- is it not, that the infrastructure

  component we're talking about relates to, relating to

  the application for receiving money is the FEMA flood

- plain changes at least the suggestion of that by the applicant?
  - A. I think I can agree with that.
  - Q. Okay. And that in that context then Culbertson was asked about infrastructure, remember that's where we were talking a moment ago. That's where we diverted.
    - A. Uh-huh. Yeah.

4

8

9

10

17

- Q. Okay. And do you agree that Culbertson,
  Mr. Culbertson's expertise definitely is the whole
  business of evaluating the project?
- 11 A. That's a significant role for him. He has
  12 other roles.
- Q. The whole CCREDC's role is to, like you said vet the project to see if it even can get in front of Type B, right?
- 16 A. That's correct.
  - Q. And in terms of an infrastructure component we know now that's the FEMA flood plain issue, right?
- 19 A. Right.
- Q. And we know that Mr. Culbertson said these things. And I'm going to ask you if you agree with them.
- First of all, Mr. Culbertson says on

  page 121 of his deposition, quote, I agree that I said

  it had to be tied to infrastructure.

## 1 Did I read that correctly? 2 Uh-huh. Α. 3 Ο. Do you agree with that? 4 Α. Yeah, I guess. I mean he knows his business? 0. 6 Α. It was the EDC's plan to present it the way they did. I mean, that's -- and so he's saying he 8 Ο. Right. agrees that it had to be tied to infrastructure, and he 9 10 further says, quote, I told both my board and the 11 project in Type B it had to be tied to infrastructure. 12 First, did I read that correctly? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Ο. And do you agree with that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And then Mr. Culbertson goes on to testify, Ο. 17 quote, And in fact that's all we've ever done when we 18 looked at, at projects like this that it had to be tied 19 to infrastructure. 20 Did I read that correctly? 21 Uh-huh. Yes. Α. 22 Ο. And do you agree with that? 23 Α. Yes. Well, as far as I know. I don't know 2.4 the -- his history with the project. 25 Right. But this is his expertise? Q.

- 1 Α. Yeah. So you would also --2 Q. 3 Α. I would expect he knew what he was talking about. 4 0. Right. You're not here to disagree with him? Α. No. And then he went on to say, he was asked, Q. quote, because you knew you couldn't get behind it 8 9 without there being some infrastructure tie in, and his 10 answer was correct. 11 And then the next question, And you 12 couldn't get Type B money in your mind unless, that's a 13 typo. 14 Α. Uh-huh. 15 Ο. Unless there was an infrastructure tie in, and 16 he says that correct? 17 Α. That's what he said. 18 Q. Did I read that correctly? 19 Uh-huh. You did. Α. 20 And so what he's very clearly saying, and, 21 again, you've said he's kind of the expert in the field,
- he's very clearly saying that if there's no infrastructure component to it this FEMA flood plain deal, then there can't be any money?

A. The implication is it would not qualify for

Type B.

1

2

- Q. In which case there should be no money given?
- 3 A. Right.
- Q. Okay. Now, then of course, you know that the applicant Mr. Ramirez and Mr. Deven Bhakta they came and made the presentation right?
  - A. Uh-huh.
  - O. Correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And when they made that presentation, I'm sure you're now aware that they did so, and it's even talked about in the audio recording some, but let me back up from that.
- When the applicant Deven Bhakta and
  Phillip Ramirez came and made the presentation, you know
  that they made a presentation using a FEMA slide?
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. Correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And I think you probably now know it was falsified?
- 22 A. I know that it -- was it the date that was 23 removed?
- Q. Actually, it was a date in two places. I'll go ahead and show you the --

- 1 A. I think Ajit had showed me.
- Q. Let me help you there so you have exact
- 3 information?
- 4 A. Okay.
- Q. Here's the original that's online still. And
- 6 | I'm going to point out three things for you.
- $7 \mid A$ . All right.
- Q. There's a date here and a date here and a reference number there.
- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. Or release number.
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. You see those three items?
- 14 A. T do.
- Q. And then here's the actual slide they used in
- 16 | front of your Type B Board, and do you see that the date
- 17 | has -- the one day I pointed out a moment ago, has been
- 18 | removed. It's not there. Agreed?
- 19 A. Yeah. No. I don't see it.
- Q. And then the second date I showed you is also
- 21 | not there?
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. And then the release date is also or the
- 24 | release number is also not there?
- 25 A. 86009.

- Alan Wilson July 01, 2025 29 1 Ο. Correct? 2 Α. Correct. 3 So that's three alterations where they changed, 0. 4 modified, forged, whatever word you want to use, the document? 5 Α. Yeah. Ο. Now, and you can see how, and remember Okay. we talked about the exact words that Phillip Ramirez 8 9 used talking about, did not anticipate, threw a wrinkle, 10 you know all the things that he, Mr. Ramirez, said to 11 the Type B Board you can see how timing was important,
- 13 A. Timing, which timing?
  - Q. Excellent question. The timing, the suggestion by Phillip that somehow they couldn't -- when I say Phillip you know I'm talking about Mr. Ramirez?
- 17 A. I do.

right?

12

14

15

- Q. Yeah. I'll try to say Mr. Ramirez.
- 19 A. Yeah.
- Q. The suggestion by Mr. Ramirez that somehow this change in FEMA flood plain rules was not anticipated, and we've agreed that's what he's suggesting?
- A. Uh-huh.
- 24 Q. Right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. That suggestion sort of is time sensitive, the word recent or not anticipated are time-sensitive words?
  - A. Yeah. Right.
- Q. Okay. And so removing the dates, deprives the person on the Type B Board or whoever is looking at the falsified slide, removing the dates, removes the reference to a time period?
  - A. Okay.
  - O. True?
- 10 A. Yeah.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

18

- Q. Okay. And so if you're going to go in front
  of, and let's just be honest and mis -- the presentation
  by removing the dates, I think we agreed probably is
  misleading? I'm being nice.
- 15 A. I don't know how to characterize it, because I
  16 wouldn't even have thought that somebody would go to
  17 that extent.
  - Q. I'm not going to comment.
- 19 A. Yeah. Yeah.
- Q. But I appreciate your comment.
- 21 A. Yeah.
- Q. It -- you live in a world where you do lending of private money, right?
- 24 A. Uh-huh. Yes.
  - Q. And you understand that quite frankly you've

- Alan Wilson July 01, 2025 1 been given an awesome responsibility by serving on a 2 board that can advance or loan or, or invest, whatever word you want to use, public money? 3 4 Α. Right. Ο. And that's, in my view, and I don't mean to say 6 less of the private sector, but when you're dealing with public funds there probably needs to be even higher scrutiny, do we agree? 8 9 Α. High standards. 10 The highest of standards? Ο. Yes. 11 Α. Yes. 12 And back to what the most important thing is Ο. 13 when you're advancing money, the highest standards for 14 one's character, correct?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 The highest standards should apply for one's Ο. 17 integrity, right?
- 18 Α. Right.
- 19 The highest standards should apply to one's Ο. 20 honesty in making the request for the money?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 0. The highest standards should apply for one's 23 truthfulness in making the request for the money?
- 2.4 Α. Correct.
- 25 For public money, right? Q.

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And so if you you're altering a -- you
- 3 understand the federal, the FEMA document that they got
- 4 | off line from being online?
- A. Right. Right.
- 6 Q. That's a -- that's a federal document?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Okay. And altering a federal document to
- 9 | request public money, I'm sure you will agree lacks
- 10 | integrity?
- 11 A. Yeah. If it's material, yes.
- 12 Q. Well, and we've already proven it's materiality
- 13 | because time and this not anticipated argument is out
- 14 | there, right?
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Okay. So let's not digress.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Altering a federal document is, you would never
- 19 expect someone of good character to alter a federal
- 20 | document?
- 21 A. Not to mislead.
- 22 Q. You agree?
- 23 A. I agree.
- Q. Yeah. And you would never expect somebody --
- 25 | well, altering a federal document is not honest, is it?

- A. Well, I mean, it depends on the context, I would say. If there's -- if there's an intent to lie, to mislead, to get, gain something, no, of course not, you wouldn't do that.
  - Q. Yeah. Well, I mean, let me ask you this.

Are you telling me that if somebody comes into your bank on private money and they alter a federal document, you're going to give them a loan?

- A. Well, depends on what they altered.
- Q. Okay. Well, if they alter the very document that was the infrastructure component that would prohibit it from being approved by CCREDC like Culbertson testified to. That's material, isn't it?
- A. That is material if the EDC would not have approved this because of the alteration.
- Q. When you saw Mr. Culbertson's deposition, he would not have, correct?
  - A. I think that's correct.
- Q. Yeah. Okay. And so, so that's step one.
- 20 A. That's step one.

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. To the process?
- A. Yeah. It got approved. It would have never come to us.
- Q. Yeah. And if you, if CCREDC knew now, or excuse me, knew then what it knows now that the

- infrastructure component was based upon a false document it should never have then come out of CCREDC?
  - A. If that -- yes, that's correct.
  - Q. Well, yeah. There's no if ands or buts about that one.
    - A. I didn't say if, I said, yes, that's correct.
  - Q. Yeah. And quite frankly, and I want to just -I'm gonna make the assumption that you think the Type
    Board B (sic) responsibility to administer public funds
    is a serious and awesome responsibility?
    - A. It is.

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. And that it requires the highest standards for the words you used, character, integrity, honesty, and truthfulness?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you know that the presentation, and I can show you the slide and the whole thing. But the whole argument there was we had these changes in FEMA and recent or not anticipated, whatever word you want to use, and therefore we need this money to pay for that part of the project that we got. It was kind of unexpected to us. That was the whole argument?
- A. Yeah. And, of course, I knew that the FEMA rules had changed not just last week.
  - Q. Okay. Yeah. But are you suggesting to me that

1 you knew they were lying at the time they were lying?

- 2 A. No. I'm suggesting that it could have been
- 3 | incompetence on their part is what I thought. I know
- 4 | Phillip, and I know Deven, don't know Deven as well, but
- 5 he's been nothing but honorable in anything in my
- 6 interactions with him.
- 7 | So I came to that meeting with a certain
- 8 | level of confidence from past experience. So it never
- 9 | even crossed my mind they would be altering documents or
- 10 | misleading on purpose.
- 11 O. Yeah. But if you have a falsified federal
- 12 | document, are you going to approve a loan?
- 13 | A. No.
- 14 Q. Yeah.
- 15 A. If it's material, no.
- 16 Q. Well, and this is material, we've --
- 17 A. Yeah. Yeah.
- 18 Q. Okay. So it should have never gotten approved?
- 19 A. Yeah. Well, it should've never come to us.
- Q. Right. Okay. And if you had known back then
- 21 | what you now know to be true about --
- 22 A. We would have tabled it and investigated it,
- 23 and try to understand why. And we would have gone
- 24 | several steps further.
- 25 Q. Yeah.

- 1 A. But at the time --
- Q. In other words, you would not have approved it
- 3 | as is with that falsification?
  - A. Not on that day.
  - Q. We agree?
- 6 A. We agree.
- Q. Okay. And then it never came back because that never happened because you were lied to and you relied upon the applicant?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- 11 Q. Okay.

20

- 12 A. And so I became aware of the allegations after 13 we had already voted.
- 14 0. I understand.
- 15 A. And so then I thought, well, if it's true, then 16 the city council will want to prove it.
- 17 Q. Yeah. That's what they should have done in 18 your opinion?
  - A. I think there should have been a further investigation. I did hear that this was --
- Q. Let me stop you there for a minute, and then
  I'm just going to say, just 'cause you answer the
  question. If you want to volunteer something, that's
  fine. I'm not trying to cut you off. You did hear?
  - A. I heard that the allegations were referred to

- 1 the FBI, and my response was, well, good. If the
- 2 | allegations are true, then they'll find out.
- 3 Q. Okay.
  - A. 'Cause I'm for truth.
- O. You're what?
- 6 A. I'm for truth. I'm not for misleading.
- Q. Yeah. And the only reason I cut you off, you started saying, but then I let you say it.
- The only reason I put a little blocker in there is, because you said I heard that, and most people are going to tell you that's hearsay.
- 12 A. Hearsay.
- 13 Q. And so I wanted to have a clean answer.
- 14 A. Sure. Sure.
- 15 Q. But I didn't want to cut you off either.
- 16 A. Yeah. Yeah.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- A. But I wanted to be on record, I would never do
  anything if I thought that there was something untoward
  in it. It never crossed my mind.
- Q. Yeah. I don't have -- I didn't ask a question and you volunteered that, so I'm going to ask a question so that you can answer it, so that it's proper, okay?
- 24 A. Okay.
- Q. All said, can we agree that if there's anything

- 1 that would be misleading, misrepresenting, a false
- 2 | federal document or a false -- a federal document
- 3 | putting it in a false light?
- 4 | A. Yeah.
  - Q. Let me say it that way.
- 6 A. Okay.
- Q. Knowing what you now know -- I'm going to start again.
- 9 You now know very clearly there were the 10 three alterations on the document, right?
- 11 A. Uh-huh. Yes. Sorry.
- Q. And that the -- what do you want to call it, a forged or a false document was used in front of the Type B Board, right?
- 15 A. A changed document. Yeah. We were not aware 16 of that, but.
- Q. I understand, and that's important.
- 18 A. Yeah.
- Q. Because you were not aware of it, but you nonetheless, voted to approve it because you were not aware of it?
- 22 A. Right.
- Q. And if you had known about it, that's the sort of lack of integrity or honesty or truthfulness or misleading or whatever word you want to use or not use,

1	that's the sort of thing that puts a really harsh
2	negative light on it. Can we agree on that?
3	A. It certainly, yeah, raises the possibility that
4	there needed to be further investigation. I cannot
5	speak for the whole board.
6	Q. But for you, you would not have
7	A. But for me, I would have said I know these
8	guys, this doesn't seem like them, but if it's true then
9	we got a problem.
10	Q. So if it's true that they falsified the
11	document, you would have voted no?
12	A. I would have voted no.
13	Q. Against it?
14	A. Yes.
15	MR. ALLISON: Okay. That's all I have.
16	Thank you.
17	THE WITNESS: Okay.
18	MS. MARCUM: We'll reserve.
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. The time
20	now is 1:37. We're off the record.
21	(Signature having been waived, the
22	deposition was concluded at 1:37 p.m.)
23	
24	
25	

1	CAUSE NO. 2024CCV-61174-3			
2	AJIT DAVID § IN THE COUNTY COURT			
3	Plaintiff, §			
4	vs. § AT LAW NO. 3			
5	§ CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI, §			
6	TEXAS § Defendant. § NUECES COUNTY, TEXAS			
7	COURT REPORTERS CERTIFICATION			
8	OF Alan Wilson			
9	Taken on July 1, 2025			
10	I, Rosalba R. Taylor, Apprentice Court			
11	Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby certify			
12	to the following:			
13	That the witness, Alan Wilson was duly sworn			
14	and that the transcript of the oral deposition is a true			
15	record of the testimony given by the witness;			
16	That examination and signature of the witness			
17	to the deposition transcript was waived by the witness			
18	and agreement of the parties at the time of the			
19	deposition;			
20	That the amount of time used by each party at			
21	the deposition is as follows:			
22	Mr. Allison (0h38m)			
23	Attorney for Plaintiff Ms. Marcum (0h0m)			
24	Attorney for Defendant			
25				

1	That \$ is the deposition officer's
2	charges to Mr. Douglas Allison for preparing the
3	original deposition transcript and any copies of
4	exhibits;
5	That pursuant to information given to the
6	deposition officer at the time said testimony was taken,
7	the following includes counsel for all parties of
8	record:
9	MR. ALLISON, Attorney for Plaintiff(s)
10	MS. MARCUM, Attorney for Defendant(s)
11	That a copy of this certificate was served on
12	all parties shown herein on and
13	filed with the Clerk pursuant to Rule 203.3.
14	I further certify that I am neither counsel
15	for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties in
16	the action in which this proceeding was taken, and
17	further that I am not financially or otherwise
18	interested in the outcome of this action.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Certified to by me on this 10th day of July,
2	2025.
3	Roselbe Taylor
4	
5	ROSALBA R. TAYLOR, TX ACR #12021 Expire Date: 04/30/27
6	Expire Date: 04/30/2/
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	action	Ajit	anticipating
1	19:5	23:15 28:1	18:18 21:9
	actual	Alan	appearances
121	28:15	4:15,20	4:9,10
14:7 24:24	add	allegation	applicant
12:55	14:24 16:23,	17:23	17:1,22 18:7
4:3,6	24	allegations	22:1,24 24:2
•	added	36:12,25	27:5,14 36:9
1:37	21:8	37:2	application
39:20,22			9:15 23:25
1st	additional	Allison	
4:6	16:24	4:10,13,18	apply
	administer	6:6,11	12:3 31:16,
2	34:9	11:10,14	19,22
	adopted	16:11 39:15	appointed
20	18:19	alter	9:9
5:22	advance	32:19 33:7,	appointee
2020	10:5 31:2	10	9:12
18:25 19:17	advancing	alteration	appraise
20:9 21:12	7:24 8:3	33:15	6:24
2022	31:13	alterations	appraising
21:10	ago	29:3 38:10	7:3
2025	23:13 24:6	altered	appreciate
4:6	28:17	33:9	30:20
4.0	agree	altering	approve
	14:12,14,16,	16:3 32:2,8,	35:12 38:20
4	22 15:11,12	18,25 35:9	approved
	16:20 20:25	analysis	12:22 33:12,
41	21:12,15,24	11:17,20	15,22 35:18
8:19	24:3,8,21,24	analyze	36:2
43	25:3,14,22	6:20,25 10:4	
5:25 7:9	31:8 32:9,	ands	5:18
8:18	22,23 36:5,6	34:4	architect
45	37:25 39:2	answer	23:6
8:19	agreed	13:22 14:4	argument
	17:19 20:16	20:20 26:10	32:13 34:18,
8	21:14 22:17	36:22 37:13,	22
	23:10,11	23	
86009	28:18 29:22	answered	around 18:23 21:10
28:25	30:13	14:12 15:12	
	agreeing	anticipate	asked
	18:2,5 23:4	21:18 22:5,	13:15 14:8
A	agrees	15 29:9	15:4 24:5
ahla	25:9		26:7
able	ahead	anticipated	aspect
6:23,24,25	4:13 13:9,12	21:17 22:6,	21:7
	1 - 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1	25 23:7	aspects
	18:11 22:7	20.01 20.0	<del>-</del>
<b>act</b> 22:13	18:11 23:7 27:25	29:21 30:2 32:13 34:19	19:9

	<b>_</b>	<u> </u>	
assumption     34:8 audio     16:10 23:12     27:12 available     23:8 average     8:19,20 12:9 aware     27:11 36:12     38:15,19,21 awesome     31:1 34:10  B back     6:12 15:16     19:17 22:21     27:12 31:12     35:20 36:7 background     5:1 bank     5:8,11,12,     13,17 7:1,25	12:1,5,14,20 14:20 15:6 22:1 25:10 28:16 29:11 30:5 31:2 34:9 38:14 39:5 borrow	11:5,16,21, 22 12:7,9, 12,18 13:1, 10,17 14:1, 9,17 33:12, 24 34:2  CCREDC's 24:13  CEO 13:10 certain 35:7 certainly 19:11 21:6 22:4,20 39:3 challenges 19:21 change 15:10 17:2, 4,5,12,24 18:7 19:22 23:1 29:21 changed 29:3 34:24 38:15 changes 19:18 20:12	12:23 15:23 16:6 18:20 19:20 36:16 claiming 21:2 clean 37:13 clear 22:24 23:23 clearly 26:20,22 38:9 come
В		_	
hack	E - 00		
			•
		_	
13,17 7:1,25	business	19:18 20:12	
33:7	6:2 20:19 24:10 25:5	24:1 34:18	7:2 9:1,7
banker 17:4	24.10 25.5 <b>buts</b>	6:24 7:4,7,	competence 8:7
banking	34:4	8,18 8:3,6,8	comply
5:23 6:2,14		31:14 32:19 34:13	18:20
banks 19:5	C	characterize	<b>component</b> 13:2,4,16
based	call	30:15	17:21,23
34:1	4:23 10:1	Charter 5:8,11,12,	23:24 24:17
behind	38:12	13,16	26:23 33:11 34:1
26:8 believe	<b>career</b> 6:3,20 8:16	check	concluded
15:10	carve	12:6	39:22
benefit	9:7	checked 21:16	<pre>confidence 35:8</pre>
13:11	<b>case</b> 27:2	Christi	consideration
<b>best</b> 23:2	catch	5:9 6:1	12:23
Bhakta	20:21	10:19 15:23 city	consistent
27:5,14	CCREDC 10:2,19	9:10,12	20:3

constantly	court	24:24 33:16	
7:13	5:3	39:22	
	credit	deprives	E
ty	6:20 7:7	30:4	earlier
21:8	criteria	details	19:1
context	11:22 12:6	12:11	Economic
14:6 15:2,21	14:17,18	developer	10:20
16:20 17:20	crossed	23:6	EDC
24:4 33:1	35:9 37:20	Development	10:12,13,24
continuing 15:13	Cs	10:20	33:14
	7:7,24	Deven	EDC's
conversation 14:13 16:9	Culbertson 13:6,9 15:12	27:5,14 35:4	
conversations	24:4,8,20,23	digress 32:16	edit
16:8	25:16 33:13	direct	6:8
Corporation	Culbertson's	10:10	effect 18:21
10:20	13:12,23	disagree	either
Corpus	24:9 33:16	8:6 26:5	37:15
5:9,14,15	current	discussion	employed
6:1 10:19	9:22 13:10	15:14,18	5:7
15:23	cut	22:20	entire
correct	36:24 37:7,	distinct	15:4
4:24 10:14,	15	23:13	estate
21 11:19,24 12:8,13,15		diverted	20:19
16:6 19:14,	D	24:6	evaluating
21 24:16	date	document	24:10
26:10,16	27:22,24	16:3 29:5 32:3,6,8,18,	everybody
27:8,18	28:8,16,20,	20,25 33:8,	5:10
29:1,2	23	10 34:1	<b>exact</b> 4:25 12:25
31:14,24	dates	35:12 38:2,	28:2 29:8
32:1 33:17, 18 34:3,6	30:4,6,13	10,13,15	EXAMINATION
correctly	day	39:11	4:17
7:16 25:1,	28:17 36:4	documents	Excellent
12,20 26:18	deal	35:9	29:14
cost	26:24	doing	exception
14:24 21:8	dealing	7:18 15:13	10:9 12:2
council	31:6	<b>dollar</b> 20:23 22:13	exceptions
15:23 36:16	<pre>Define   13:3</pre>	due	10:15
counsel	definitely	7:14	excuse
4:8 9:10	24:9	duly	33:25
12:23	depends	4:16	executive
course	33:1,9		5:8,20,21
27:4 33:3 34:23	deposition		expect 26:3 32:19,
J4·4J	13:6,12,23		24
	14:20 15:3		

		1, 2025	
expense	12,24 18:18,	four-year	18 33:8
16:24	21 20:4	19:24	35:12 36:22
experience	22:2,3,9	frame	37:11,22
6:14 8:25	23:25 24:18	13:18,19	38:7
35:8	26:23 27:16	16:9	good
expert	29:21 32:3	framework	32:19 37:1
26:21	34:18,23	11:3,5	gosh
expertise	field	framing	8:20
24:9 25:25	26:21	15:1	Government
expressly	figure	frankly	22:10
7:17	7:11	30:25 34:7	growth
extent	final	front	9:9 10:6
30:17	19:4,19	12:20 15:22	guess
30.17	finalized	22:1 24:14	9:2 17:25
	18:19 19:6	28:16 30:11	19:22 21:4
F	financial	38:13	25:4
	6:25	funding	
fact	find	10:24 11:18	<b>guys</b> 39:8
25:17	37:2	funds	J
Fair	fine	9:16 31:7	
13:5 19:7	36:24	34:9	H
false	first	フェ・ク	
17:13 34:1	4:16 5:6 7:7		hand
38:1,2,3,13	8:6 9:4	G	8:8
falsification	15:25 17:19		happened
36:3	21:9 23:20	gain	36:8
falsified	24:23 25:12	33:3	harsh
27:21 30:6	fit	generally	39:1
35:11 39:10	10:4	6:18 20:3	head
familiar		generically	11:11
8:23	flood	6:17	hear
far	14:2,25	getting	36:20,24
25:23	16:15,21	11:21	heard
fast	17:2,9,12,24 23:25 24:18	give	15:18 17:4
22:9	26:23 29:21	8:15 15:2,21	23:20 36:25
FBI		22:11 33:8	37:10
37:1	follows	given	hearing
feasibility	4:16	15:6 27:2	5:11 18:10
10:6	foremost	31:1	hearsay
federal	8:7	goes	37:11,12
16:3 32:3,6,	forged	19:16 21:25	help
8,18,19,25	29:4 38:13	25:16	9:25 17:6
33:7 35:11	form	going	28:2
38:2	21:12	5:10 7:19	High
FEMA	forward	8:17 9:16	31:9
13:25 14:2	21:10	10:18 16:25	higher
15:10 16:15,	four	17:1 24:21	31:7
16,21 17:2,	7:7,24	28:6 30:11,	,

highest	19:11		
8:4 31:10, 13,16,19,22	industry 5:24	J	L
34:12	information	job	lack
historically	22:23 23:8	9:8,9 10:5	38:24
6:14	28:3	jobs	lacks
history	informed	10:5 12:10	32:9
9:1 25:24	12:11	July	lady
hit	infrastructur	4:6	11:10
19:8	е	jury	law
Homewood	13:2,3,16,	5:3	21:22
9:17 10:18,	20,22,25		learn
23 11:17 12:17 17:20	14:9,15,21, 24 16:14,19,	K	6:20
homework	21 17:21,22		led
23:6	18:3 23:23	kind	8:25 9:1
honest	24:5,17,25	12:10 19:8	
30:12 32:25	25:9,11,19	23:1 26:21	6:4,15,18 7:6 30:22
honesty	26:9,15,23	34:21	level
8:11 31:20	33:11 34:1	<b>knew</b> 8:16 17:4	35:8
34:13 38:24	initial	23:16 26:3,8	
honorable	20:8	33:24,25	33:2
35:5	integrity	34:23 35:1	lied
hullabaloo	7:18 8:9	know	36:8
16:1	31:17 32:10	4:21 5:4	light
hundreds	34:13 38:24	8:18 10:17,	38:3 39:2
8:20,22	<pre>intent 33:2</pre>	22 12:3,17	line
	interactions	13:7,11,19	32:4
I	35:6	14:18 15:22	lines
	interruption	16:7 17:12,	7:15,16
idea	6:8	15 18:9,22,	listening
17:25	invest	24 19:16 20:1,6 22:3	16:13
imagined	31:2	24:18,20	little
20:10	investigated	25:23 27:4,	5:1 17:6
implication	35:22	15,20,22	19:8 37:9
26:25	investigation	29:10,16	live
importance	16:5 36:20	30:15 34:16	30:22
8:4	39:4	35:3,4,21	loan
important 7:4,8 29:11	involved	38:7,9 39:7	6:22 7:4
31:12 38:17	6:3,23	Knowing	8:19,20 31:2 33:8 35:12
incompetence	issue	38:7	loans
35:3	16:22 24:18	known	7:1 8:16
incur	items	20:20 35:20	17:8
16:25 17:1	28:13	38:23	local
indicates			5:13,14
			<b>, -</b> -

	oury o	_,	
locations	materiality	moment	note
5:14	32:12	23:13 24:6	7:21
long	matter	28:17	notice
5:16,19,23	4:22 5:1	money	22:11
9:4,11 18:23	mean	7:20,24 8:3	number
longer	13:3 16:12	11:18 17:22	12:10 28:9,
16:11	25:5,8 31:5	23:25 26:12,	11,24
look	33:1,5	24 27:2	
10:6 16:6	means	30:23 31:3,	
23:18	7:22 10:19	13,20,23,25	0
looked	13:20	32:9 33:7	obvious
7:12 25:18	meeting	34:20	15:8
looking	12:6 35:7	month	
5:4 30:5	meets	5:25	occur 19:22
loop	11:22	move	
19:9	Melissa	22:9	October 18:21 20:9
lot	6:5	moving	
7:10	member	21:10	officer
lying	9:19	multi-million	6:22
35:1	mentioned	20:23 22:13	okay
22.1	7:3		4:11,13 5:5,
	mid-		16,23 6:2,7,
M	construction	N	10 7:3 8:8,
	17:9	name	11,13,21,23,
made	midstream	4:19 5:6	25 9:22,25 10:22 11:3,
14:1 27:6,	19:8	need	4,13,14,16,
10,15,16	Mike	23:20 34:20	23 12:1,16
make	13:6,9 15:12		13:15,21
34:8		18:20 39:4	14:3,19
making	million 8:19	needs	15:2,7,8,17,
31:20,23		31:7	21 16:5,8
Man	mind		17:6,16,18
8:18	26:12 35:9 37:20	negative 39:2	18:8,13,14
management			19:7,11
6:4	minute	never	20:1,6,12,18
maps	36:21	32:18,24 33:22 34:2	21:20,25
18:18 19:6	mis	35:8,18,19	22:11,19
MARCUM	30:12	36:7,8	23:4,9,12,16
4:12 39:18	mislead	37:18,20	24:4,8 27:4
market	32:21 33:3	•	28:4 29:7
5:21	misleading	<pre>nice   11:10 30:14</pre>	30:4,8,11
marks	30:14 35:10		32:2,8,15,
12:6	37:6 38:1,25	<b>nine</b> 5:18	16,17 33:10,
material	misrepresenti		19 34:16,25
32:11 33:13,	ng	nod	35:18,20
14 35:15,16	38:1	11:11	36:7,11
	modified	nonetheless	37:3,17,23,
	29:4	38:20	24 38:6
L.			

		,	
39:15,17	12:25 14:16,	12,24 24:1,	pretty
one	25 16:8	18 26:23	23:13
5:14,15 7:24	17:21 18:19	29:21	primarily
8:4,17 10:9,	34:21 35:3	plains	5:13
11,15,22	passed	14:2	private
11:21 12:25	12:23 13:17	plan	7:25 8:1
15:9 16:8	past	25:6	30:23 31:6
18:17 21:4	5:18 7:14	planning	33:7
28:17 33:19,	35:8	19:10	probably
20 34:5	pay	played	5:10 6:8
one's	7:11,22	16:10 22:21	8:6,15 16:1
31:14,16,19,	34:20	23:13	27:20 30:13
22	paying	please	31:7
online	7:13	4:19	problem
28:5 32:4	people	point	7:13 39:9
operates	7:10 8:5	23:22 28:6	process
20:4	37:10	pointed	6:18 7:5,6
opinion	people's	28:17	12:16,18,24,
22:8 36:18	6:24 7:4	portfolio	25 18:20
opportunity	period	8:19	33:21
6:21	19:23,24	portion	prohibit
original	22:12 30:7	13:20	33:12
28:5	person	position	project
overseen	8:9,11,13	9:10,23	9:17 10:8,
8:16	30:5	possibility	18,23 11:6,
owners	perspective	21:22 39:3	18 12:2,5,
5:14	7:23 21:8		17,18 13:2,
	Peter	Powerpoint 15:5	16 14:1,9,20
	15:15,18		15:22 16:20,
P	23:14,15	preliminary	25 17:20
P-R-O-C-E-E-	Peter's	18:18,24,25 19:3,17	19:9 20:10,
D-I-N-G-S	22:20	21:11	23 21:7,10
4:1	Phillip		22:13 24:10,
p.m.	18:16 21:5	prerequisite 11:21	14 25:11,24
4:3 39:22	27:15 29:8,		34:21
package	15,16 35:4	present 25:6	projects
17:21	picture		10:3,23
	13:20	presentation	25:18
<b>page</b> 14:7 24:24	pitch	12:8 18:12, 16 20:9	promise
	18:6	22:24 27:6,	7:22
<b>paper</b>   7:10	pitching	10,15,16	promissory
	22:25	30:12 34:16	7:21
paperwork	places	Presented	proper
	27:24	15:7	37:23
parameters	plain		proposed
22:4	14:25 16:15,	president	11:17 19:17
part	22 17:2,9,	5:8,19,20,21 9:21,24	21:23
6:2,13 9:8	44 TI-71	ን•ሬ⊥,ሬቴ	

prove		referred	requirements
36:16	R	36:25	13:1 18:21
proven		referring	22:2,4
32:12	raises	14:13 18:3	requires
provides	39:3	22:3	34:12
7:2	Ramirez	Regional	reserve
provision	18:17 19:7	10:19	39:18
9:6	21:6,25	regs	response
public	27:5,15	21:11	37 <b>:</b> 1
31:3,7,25	29:8,10,16,	regulation	responsibilit
32:9 34:9	18,20	21:21	У
purpose	read	regulations	31:1 34:9,10
35:10	6:9,24 13:12	10:5	restate
put	14:7 15:4,8	relates	17:16
7:1 37:9	22:19 25:1,	9:17 23:24	retain
puts	12,20 26:18	relating	10:5
39:1	reader	23:24	retention
putting	15:9	relation	9:9
21:4 38:3	reading	4:22	right
	5:11 7:15,16	relationship	4:23 8:1,2
	15:25	7:17 10:1	9:18 10:24
Q	real	release	11:10 12:4,
qualify	17:16 20:19	28:11,23,24	12 13:12,13
26:25	reason	released	16:17 18:2,
question	37:7,9	15:11	6,15 19:2,5,
14:4 26:11	recall	relied	12,19,25
29:14 36:23	17:3 18:10	36:8	20:10,11,13
37:21,22	receiving	remember	21:23 22:7,
questioner	23:25	6:9 16:1	9,10,18
15:9	recent	24:5 29:7	24:15,18,19
quick	15:10 17:2,	removed	25:8,25 26:5 27:3,6 28:7,
17:16	4,5,11 18:1,	27:23 28:18	12,22 29:12,
quite	7 23:1 30:2	removes	24 30:3,23
30:25 34:7	34:19	30:6	31:4,17,18,
quote	recently	removing	25 32:5,14
14:12,19	15:10 17:25	30:4,6,13	35:20 38:10,
15:13 18:11	recollection	represent	14,22 39:19
21:6 22:1,3	23:2	4:9	risk
24:24 25:10,	record	representatio	7:1
17 26:8	4:7 6:7 15:4	ns	Rockport
quotes	37:18 39:20	14:1	5:15
22:19	recording	request	role
quoting	16:10 27:12	14:23,25	24:11,13
15:14	reference	31:20,23	roles
	16:14 28:9	32:9	24:12
	30:7	requests	
		10:24 19:22	

	oury c	11, 2025	3
rookie	served	22:24 30:1	30:1
11:15	9:11	38:23 39:1	Suites
Rosie	service	sounds	9:17 10:18,
5:25	7:2	6:13 7:23	23 12:18
rough	serving	speak	17:20
8:15	31:1	39:5	sure
rule	several	special	4:12 5:2
17:12	19:18 35:24	9:6	8:12 15:1
rules	shocked	specific	20:5 27:10
22:14 29:21	22:14	16:14	32:9 37:14
34:24	should've	standards	surprise
run	35:19	31:9,10,13,	20:14,21
8:8	show	16,19,22	surprised
	13:6 18:5	34:12	19:12 21:1,
S	27:25 34:17		2,3,20
	showed	6:19 38:7	sworn
salaries	28:1,20	started	4:14,16
12:9	showing	4:25 6:16	synonyms
sales	12:9	21:9 37:8	8:14
9:8	shut	state	
saying	16:12	4:8,19 9:6	T
14:10 25:8	sic	statements	
26:20,22	34:9	6:25	tabled
37:8	side	step	35:22
says	7:12	33:19,20	take
18:16 19:7	sign	steps	19:5 20:1
22:2 24:23	7:21	35:24	talk
25:10 26:16	signature	stick	7:6 16:19 18:3
scrutiny 31:8	39:21	11:3	talked
	significance	<b>stop</b> 36:21	27:11 29:8
<b>second</b> 15:25 28:20	14:8		talking
	significant 24:11	structure 7:1	6:19 9:16
<b>sector</b> 7:25 8:1		subject	10:11 12:16
31:6	<b>sir</b> 16:24	4:25	13:23 15:5
see	sixth	subtract	16:21 23:24
22:19 24:14	9:13	8:19	24:6 26:3
28:13,16,19	size	sudden	29:9,16
29:7,11	8:20	17:10	talks
sense	slide	suggested	21:5,6
8:15	27:16 28:15	17:11	tape
sensitive	30:6 34:17	suggesting	22:21 23:12
30:1	someone's	29:22 34:25	tax
September	7:18	35:2	9:8
9:13	sort	suggestion	tell
serious	12:16 13:1	17:23 24:1	6:17 9:4
34:10		29:14,20	13:9 37:11

telling		trying		
5:25 7:9	11:11 21:6		V	
17:3 33:6	tie	<del>-</del>		
term	7:17 26:9,15		vet	
9:14	tied	two	11:6 24:14	
terms	14:14,21	6:21 8:19	vetter	
7:24 8:3	24:25 25:9,	27:24	10:3	
24:17	11,18	Туре	vice	
testified	time	4:23 9:2,5,	5:8,19,20,21	
	4:6 9:15,19		9:21	
testify	13:17,18,19	11:18 12:1,	VIDEOGIGII IIEK	
25:16	15:22 16:9 18:23 19:21		4:5 39:19	
Texas	23:7,19		view	
9:7		24:15 25:11	31:5	
Thank	35:1 36:1		voices	
6:5,6 39:16	39:19	28:16 29:11	23:13	
there'd	time-	30:5 34:8	volunteer	
8:5	sensitive	38:13	36:23	
thing	30:2	typo	volunteered	
12:10 17:19	times	26:13	37:22	
18:17 31:12	7:12		voted	
34:17 39:1	timing		12:22 36:13	
things 24:21 28:6	20:2 29:11,		38:20 39:11,	
29:10	13,14	Uh-huh	12	
think	today	10:25 11:7	votes	
4:21 7:10	4:5,22 7:9		9:7 10:7	
9:15,19,22,	10:11	23:17 24:7		
25 13:22	told	25:2,21	W	
15:20 17:19	5:6 14:20	26:14,19		
19:20 20:8	25:10	27:7,17	waive	
23:2,22 24:3	transcript	28:10 29:23	4:10	
27:20 28:1		30:24 38:11		
30:13 33:18	trouble	unanticipated		
34:8 36:19	7:14	21:14	want	
thought	true	understand	5:1 10:17	
17:14 30:16	23:3,5 30:9		14:7 23:18	
35:3 36:15	35:21 36:15	16:13 23:12	29:4 31:3	
37:19	37:2 39:8,10		34:7,19	
thousands	truth	35:23 36:14	36:16,23 37:15 38:12,	
8:22	37:4,6	38:17	37·15 38·12, 25	
three	truthfulness	unexpected	wanted	
	8:13 31:23	17:12 34:22	15:9 37:13,	
-	34:14 38:24	untoward	18	
38:10	try	37:19	way	
threw	29:18 35:23		way 6:9 7:11	
19:8 29:9			13:5,21	
			10.0121	

```
15:16 21:4
                  32:7,11,24
 25:7 38:5
                  33:5,19,22,
                  24 34:4,7,
website
                  23,25 35:11,
 20:8
                  14,17,19,25
week
                  36:10,17
 34:24
                  37:7,16,21
went
                  38:4,15,18
 10:9,13
                  39:3
 12:18 14:19
                 year
 26:7
                  6:21 9:13
Wilson
                 years
 4:15,20,21
                  5:18,22,25
witness
                  7:9 8:18
 4:14 6:5,10
                  19:1,18 20:9
 11:13 39:17
                  21:23 22:11
word
 20:1 29:4
 30:2 31:3
                        \mathbf{Z}
 34:19 38:25
                 Zanoni
words
                  14:14 15:15,
 29:8 30:2
                  19 23:14
 34:13 36:2
world
 30:22
wrinkle
 21:7,13 29:9
       Y
y'all
 19:20
yeah
 7:20 8:10,
 14,24 12:25
 13:19 14:5,
 11 16:18
 17:3,11,14
 19:20,24
 20:7,11,21,
 22,24 21:1,
 5,17,19,24
 22:9,16
 23:4,20 24:7
 25:4,6 26:1
 28:19 29:6,
 18,19 30:3,
 10,19,21
```